

CANADA'S NATIONAL LABORATORY FOR PARTICLE AND NUCLEAR PHYSICS

Owned and operated as a joint venture by a consortium of Canadian universities via a contribution through the National Research Council Canada

Canadian Spallation Ultracold Neutron Source

Jeff Martin (U. Winnipeg)

Collaborators: J.D. Bowman, J. Birchall, L. Buchmann, L. Clarke, C. Davis, B.W. Filippone, M. Gericke, R. Golub, K. Hatanaka, M. Hayden, T.M. Ito, S. Jeong, I. Kato, S. Komamiya, E. Korobkina, E. Korkmaz, L. Lee, Y. Masuda, K. Matsuta, A. Micherdzinska, W.D. Ramsay, S.A. Page, B. Plaster, I. Tanihata, W.T.H. van Oers, Y. Watanabe, S. Yamashita, T. Yoshioka

(Winnipeg, Manitoba, ORNL, TRIUMF, NCSU, Caltech, RCNP, SFU, LANL, KEK, Tokyo, UNBC, Osaka, Kentucky)

LABORATOIRE NATIONAL CANADIEN POUR LA RECHERCHE EN PHYSIQUE NUCLÉAIRE ET EN PHYSIQUE DES PARTICULES

Propriété d'un consortium d'universités canadiennes, géré en co-entreprise à partir d'une contribution administrée par le Conseil national de recherches Canada

Summary

- We are proposing to construct the world's highest-density source of ultracold neutrons, at TRIUMF.
- The source will be used carry out fundamental physics research in the years beyond 2012.
- Experiments we're looking into:
 - n-lifetime
 - gravity levels
 - n-EDM

≻ near term

longer term

Ultracold Neutrons

- UCN are neutrons that are moving so slowly that they are totally reflected from surfaces of materials.
- So, they can be confined in material bottles for long periods of time.
- Typical parameters:
 - velocity < 8 m/s
 - temperature < 4 mK
 - kinetic energy < 300 neV
- Interactions:
 - gravity: V = mgh (h < 3 m)
 - weak interaction (allows UCN to decay)
 - magnetic fields: $V = -\mu \bullet B$ (magnetic trap)
- strong interaction (material trap)
 Experiments at UCN sources are chronically limited by UCN density. TRIUMF can provide the world's largest density.





Prototype UCN Source (Y. Masuda, et al, RCNP, Osaka)



- e.g. Golub, Korobkina, Young (NCSU)



World's UCN projects

	source type	E _c neV	P _{UCN} /cm³/s	Ts S	٤ _{ext}	ρ _{υсN} /cm³ source/ <mark>exp</mark> .
TRIUMF	spallation He-II	210	0.4×10 ⁴ (10L)	150	~1	3×10 ⁵ (20L) <mark>1-5 x 10</mark> ⁴
ILL	n beam He-II	250	10	150	~1	**/1000
SNS	n beam He-II	134	0.3 (7L)	500	1	**/150
LANL*	spallation SD2	250	4.4×10^4 (240 cm ³)	1.6	1.3×10 ³ / 4.4×10 ⁴	**/120
PSI	spallation SD2	250	2.9×10 ⁵ (27L*)	6	0.1	2000 (2m ³) /1000
NCSU	reactor SD2	335	2.7×10 ⁴ (1L)	**	**	1300/**
Munich	reactor SD2	250	**	**	**	1×104/**

Neutron Lifetime



 Currently a 6.5 sigma discrepancy between n-lifetime experiments

Neutron Lifetime

- Basic experiment: trap UCN for varying amounts of time
- All previous precise experiments used material traps
- Wall effects give dominant systematic effects

- Newer efforts: magnetic trap to remove wall effects
- However, need more UCN!
- TRIUMF concept deals with marginally trapped neutrons using chaotic orbits





TRIUMF concept (Bowman et al)

Neutron Lifetime Plans for TRIUMF

- TRIUMF experiment would build on preliminary work done at LANL (Bowman, et al)
- Candidate for a first physics experiment using the TRIUMF UCN source

UCN Quantum States in Gravity



Further experiments:

- Bottle the UCN to increase time the UCN is contact with the mirror.
- Excite resonant transitions between quantum states.
- Increase purity of states by preselection.
- Goal: improve precision on energy of state and hence increase sensitivity to modifications to gravity.

Concept for TRIUMF Experiment



- Features:
 - glass rod"magnifier"
 - Li-coated CCD readout

- Initiated by Japanese groups (<u>S. Komamiya</u>, et al).
- Good candidate first experiment.

Neutron Electric Dipole Moment (n-EDM)

- Existence of EDM implies violation of Time Reversal Invariance
- CPT Theorem then implies violation of CP conservation





 $h_{\nu} = 2 \mu_n B \pm 2 d_e E$

- Present Exp. Limit $< 3x10^{-26}$ e-cm
- Standard Model value: 10-31 e-cm
- Supersymmetry or Multi-Higgs models can give 10⁵xSM
- Significant discovery potential with new high sensitivity *n*-EDM
 experiment

Plans for TRIUMF

- Begin with modified ILL, SNS, or PSI setup
 - higher UCN density allows smaller cell size
 - Smaller "geometric phase" effect
 - development of magnetometers, Ramseyresonance technique (Masuda)
- proposal ~ 2011
- expect number of EDM-experienced collaborators to grow if UCN source is approved:
 - B. Filippone, <u>R. Golub</u>, M. Hayden, T. Ito, E.
 Korobkina, Y. Masuda, B. Plaster

UCN Source Overall timeline

- 2008: CFI NIF proposal in preparation
 - In-kind contributions from Japan, TRIUMF
- 2009-12 develop UCN source in Japan, preparations and design in Canada
- 2012-13 Install, commission at TRIUMF
- First experiments (n-lifetime, gravity) 2012-15
- longer term: n-EDM, 2014 and beyond



- Beamline design (J. Doornbos, G. Clark)
- Kicker feasibility, design (M. Barnes)
- Shielding estimates (A. Trudel)
- Layout (above) (S. Austen, C. Davis)
- Cost/Sched/Manpower (V. Verma, W.D. Ramsay, C. Davis)
- ...and many useful discussions with
- E. Blackmore, R. Baartman, ...

Conclusion

- Canada has an opportunity to construct a world-leading UCN facility at TRIUMF.
- Proposal to CFI NIF in preparation through U. Winnipeg with several Canadian universities involved. Matching supplied by Japan and TRIUMF.

We are looking for collaborators!!! UCN source or UCN experiments

Why UCN *density* is the most important factor



- UCN behave like an ideal gas
- As long as the experiment volume is much less than the total volume, UCN density is the most important factor.
- This is the case for every experiment we are pursuing for TRIUMF.

UCN Source Design and How We Would Achieve the World's Highest UCN Density

- Most other proposed sources use solid deuterium (ortho) at ~4K
 - ice quality
 - Fermi potential
 - para fraction, H-contamination
- We would use superfluid He-II (Masuda et al PRL)
 - lower specific production
 - NO loss mechanisms.





Example of Proton Beam Sharing



Constraints on beam sharing schemes

- The cyclotron beam can't be interrupted for more than ~1ms (ISAC target)
- The instantaneous meson hall beam should be stable.

UCN Facility in Meson Hall



Proposed time division of beam



Features of the Proposed Beam Sharing Scheme

- The beam tune of beamline 1A is untouched.
- The instantaneous beam current does not change.
- A gate could be delivered to experimenters during beam-blanking.
- Downstream users only lose 7% of their averaged beam.

Meson Hall: Other Options

