An Ultracold Neutron Source for TRIUMF

Jeff Martin (U. Winnipeg)

for Lothar Buchmann, Chuck Davis, Michael Gericke, Akira Konaka, Larry Lee, Yasuhiro Masuda, Ania Micherdzinska, Shelley Page, Des Ramsay, Wim van Oers

(KEK, TRIUMF, U. Manitoba, U. Winnipeg)

- 1. Introduction to Ultracold Neutrons (UCN)
- 2. UCN physics experiments
- 3. Source work at RCNP for TRIUMF
- 4. TRIUMF facility
- 5. CFI, relationship, collaboration, KEK

Ultracold Neutrons


- UCN are neutrons that are moving so slowly that they are totally reflected from surfaces of materials.
- So, they can be confined in material bottles for long periods of time.
- Typical parameters:
 - velocity < 8 m/s
 - temperature < 4 mK
 - kinetic energy < 300 neV
- Interactions:
 - gravity: V=mgh (h < 3 m)
 - weak interaction (allows UCN to decay)
 - magnetic fields: V=-μ•B (100% polarization)
 - strong interaction
- Experiments at UCN sources are chronically limited by UCN density. TRIUMF has the potential to be a world leader in this regard.

UCN Source at TRIUMF would be a world-class facility

	Source type	E_c and τ_s	UCN density ρ _{υςΝ} (UCN/cm³)
TRIUMF 5 kW _{av} proton	0.8K He-II	$E_c = 210 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 150 \text{ s}$	1.8 x 10 ⁴ at experimental port
Grenoble 60MW reactor	0.5K He-II	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 150 \text{ s}$	1000 in He-II
SNS cold neutron beam	0.3K He-II	$E_c = 134 \text{ neV} \tau_s = 500 \text{ s}$	430 in He-II
Munich 20MW reactor	SD ₂	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV}$	10⁴ in source
North Carolina 1 MW reactor	SD_2	E _c = 335 neV	1300 in source
PSI 12 kW _{av} proton	SD_2	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 888 \text{ s}$	2000 in source
Los Alamos 2.4 kW _{av} proton	SD_2	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 2.6 \text{ s}$	120 in source


UCN Physics

- fundamental interactions of UCN
 - EDM
 - gravity
 - beta-decay
 - nnbar oscillations
- astrophysics
 - BBN
 - r-process
- surface physics
- development towards JPARC 2nd target station UCN source




Theory EDM history

Experiment




UCN quantum states in gravity

• test of gravity at 10 um scale



Measuring (n, γ) cross sections of the r-process (Buchmann)




Surface Physics

- Many ideas to use UCN to study 10 nm thin surface films
 - (n,gamma)
 - UCN loss measurements
 - n scattering
 - reflectometry
 - polarization for magnetic films
- shown to be sensitive to low-frequency excitations (interesting for surface physics)
- In all cases, lack of UCN worldwide is the problem

How do we achieve this UCN density?

	Source type	E_c and τ_s	UCN density ρ _{υCN} (UCN/cm³)
TRIUMF 5 kW _{av} proton	0.8K He-II	$E_c = 210 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 150 \text{ s}$	1.8 x 10 ⁴ at experimental port
Grenoble 60MW reactor	0.5K He-II	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 150 \text{ s}$	1000 in He-II
SNS cold neutron beam	0.3K He-II	$E_c = 134 \text{ neV} \tau_s = 500 \text{ s}$	430 in He-II
Munich 20MW reactor	SD ₂	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV}$	10⁴ in source
North Carolina 1 MW reactor	SD ₂	$E_c = 335 \text{ neV}$	1300 in source
PSI 12 kW _{av} proton	SD ₂	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV} \ \tau_s = 888 \text{ s}$	2000 in source
Los Alamos 2.4 kW _{av} proton	SD ₂	$E_c = 250 \text{ neV} $ $\tau_s = 2.6 \text{ s}$	120 in source



M.R. Gibbs et al. (1999)


Superthermal UCN production in He-II


Coherent inelastic neutron scattering in He-II

neutron phonon

Born approximation $d^{2}\sigma/dQd\omega$ $= k_{f}/k_{i} a^{2} S(Q,\omega)$ $= \sigma_{coh}/4\pi \cdot k_{f}/k_{i} \cdot S(Q,\omega)$

RCNP UCN Source (Masuda, et al)





Recent Progress on UCN at TRIUMF

- Aug. 2007 TUG working group (Canadians + Masuda), first draft of white paper.
- Sept. 2007 International UCN Workshop (+ world experts).
- Sept. 2007 Presentation to Agency Committee on TRIUMF (ACT)
- Oct. 2007 phone meetings
- Nov. 2007 ACOT

International Workshop: UCN Sources and Experiments

September 13-14, 2007 TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada http://www.triumf.info/hosted/UCN

~25 speakers from all over the world ILL, FRM-II, NCSU, LANL, PSI, KEK, Mainz, ...

Speakers at TRIUMF UCN Workshop Sept. 13-14, 2007

- H. Abele, Heidelberg
- S. Baessler, Mainz/UVa
- L. Buchmann, TRIUMF
- M. Daum, PSI
- S. Gardner, U. Kentucky
- P. Geltenbort, ILL
- E. Gutsmiedl, Munich FRM-II
- R. Golub, NCSU
- B. Filippone, Caltech
- P. Huffman, NCSU
- T. Ito, LANL
- E. Korobkina, NCSU
- C.-Y. Liu, Indiana U.
- M. Makela, LANL

- J.W. Martin, U. Winnipeg
- Y. Masuda, KEK
- C. Morris, LANL
- P. Mumm, NIST
- I. Nico, NIST
- J. Ng, TRIUMF
- M. Pospelov, U. Victoria/Perimeter Inst.
- J.-M. Poutissou, TRIUMF
- W.M. Snow, Indiana U.
- F. Wietfeldt, Tulane U.
- A. Young, NCSU
- K. Hickerson, Caltech

Results of Workshop

- Very strong statement from the international UCN community (particularly R. Golub) that a He-II source should be pursued. Masuda clearly regarded as a leader in this field.
- TRIUMF would be an ideal venue for such a source.
- Many interesting physics experiments would be possible with the higher UCN densities achievable at this source.


Schedule

- Prior to 2010, pursue development of new UCN cryostat for TRIUMF at RCNP, Osaka.
 - This would allow us to demonstrate all the gain factors from horizontal extraction, better UCN guides. (aside from beam power)
- After 2010, begin construction of UCN source at TRIUMF (2010 = coincident with major reconstruction for ISAC 3).

Technical Issues for UCN


- Location.
- Beam sharing (dependent on location).
- Space (dependent on location).
- To carry out precision experiments, it is highly advantageous to pulse the UCN source. E.g. RCNP uses 1 min beam on, 3 mins beam off. During beam off, UCN can be counted (or their decays, etc.)
 - pulsing at ion source incompatible with ISAC.
 - achieve pulsing by diverting beam to wellshielded dump using kicker.

Conceptual to technical design for the UCN source and experiment




Issues:

- radiation
- cryogenics
- neutronics
- division of tasks
 - Masuda, Golub, others? very interested in cryogenics and neutronics
 - need TRIUMF support to bring to fruition




Shielding, Remote Handling, Spallation target

Based on LANL design



- W target 2 cm Ø x 10 cm long
- He-gas cooling
- Remote extraction of target via removal of shielding block, cask
- Need better technical design of cryostats suitable for easier access/extraction
 UCN out
- LANL design has this amount of shielding, certified for 100 uA pk currents. (we desire 40 uA pk, at lower energy)





Cryogenics/Shielding Numbers from Masuda

- According to MC + estimates, for timeavg p-beam power of 12 kW in W target:
 - 0.45e12 n/cm2/s in He-II
 - 2.3 W in He-II
 - 30-60 W in 20 K D20
 - 1.7 kW in 300 K D20
- Masuda's current 3He pump can take 8 W.
- Clever arrangement of 208Pb can reduce gamma-heating of He-II even lower.
- Radiation #'s consistent/lower cf. LANL.


Solutions Discussed for Technical Issues (thanks, accel group!)

- Location: BL4A area
 - UCN source viewed as an ISAC-3 target station, located in Proton Hall
 - Advantages:
 - UCN fully integrated into ISAC-3 program in terms of physics (fundamental symmetries) and facility (another ISAC-3 target station).
 - simultaneous operation with ISAC-3 by decoupling on kHz scale with kicker/ion source manipulation. Advantageous for ISAC-3: run all three targets simultaneously.
 - use another kicker to divert beam to dump in ISAC-3 area to achieve UCN pulsing (1 min on / 3 mins off).
 - recent designs of ISAC-3 BL4N take the beam further into Proton Hall (towards UCN). And more shielding already required in that area.
 - Disadvantages:
 - space in Proton Hall getting tight.
 - coupled to ISAC-3.
- Location: ISAC-3 target hall.
- Location: BL5 port (new port)





Potential Layout in Proton Hall (rev. 9/6/07)



Jeff needs to fix for consistency

Cost

- Cryostat, LHe cooling costs very wellunderstood (1.4 M\$ CAD)
- Masuda request to Japanese funding sources for 2.4 M\$ CAD over the next four years (the above plus EDM development) explicitly mentions TRIUMF. (submitted Nov. 2007)
- Shielding, remote handling, etc., costs yet to be estimated. Base on experience from TRIUMF, LANL, and RCNP. (Prior to shielding simulations.)

Collaboration

- Strong interest from Canadian Subatomic Physics community (Winnipeg and Manitoba groups) and from world-wide UCN community
- strong KEK group who have already created a world-class facility (Masuda et al)
- well-attended working group at August TRIUMF townhall meeting
- big event: UCN workshop at TRIUMF Sept. 13-14, 2007
 - world experts in attendance
- Interest in submitting a CFI proposal for UCN source in 2008 from Canadian groups


Summary

- An opportunity exists to create the world's highest density UCN source at TRIUMF
- A flagship physics experiment done this facility would be world's best
- The UCN facility would be tied to the ISAC-3 program in terms of both physics and facility
- We would like to pursue this unique and timely opportunity

Back-ups

simultaneous operation with ISAC-3 by decoupling on kHz scale with kicker/ion source manipulation. Advantageous for ISAC-3: run all three targets simultaneously.

ion source current vs. time



Solutions Discussed for Technical Issues

- Location:
 - BL5 area
 - Advantages:
 - decoupled from ISAC 3.
 - Disadvantages:
 - new beam port must be constructed
 - beam line must not conflict with cyclotron probe extraction point
 - high-power dump required to achieve pulsing with kicker.
 - space in Proton Hall tighter likely requires excavation for dump.

International Workshop: UCN Sources and Experiments

September 13-14, 2007 TRIUMF, Vancouver, Canada http://www.triumf.info/hosted/UCN

~25 speakers from all over the world ILL, FRM-II, NCSU, LANL, PSI, KEK, Mainz, ...

Aug. 1 morning - plenary session, charge, and introduction of working groups 12:00 lunch 13:00 Welcome + Charge (Martin) (10+5) 13:15 UCN Sources Worldwide and for TRIUMF (Masuda) (45+10) 14:10 Photofission, (gamma,n) Sources and UCN (Behr) (10+10) 14:30 coffee (30) 15:00 SCRF joint session: Electron Linac Design (Koscielniak) (20+10) 15:30 UCN Infrastructure and Proton Hall Floorplan (Davis) (10+10) 15:50 Proton Hall Radiation Limits (Trudel) (10) 16:00 Discussion (Chair: Davis) (30) 16:30 tour of proton hall? (if desired) (Davis) (30) Aug. 2 09:00 Continued infrastructure Discussion (60) 10:00 UCN Physics Intro (Martin) (10+5) 10:15 UCN Beta Decay (Melconian) (20+10) 10:45 coffee (30) 11:15 n-EDM (Masuda and/or Hayden?) (20+10) 11:45 radioactive beams (Buchmann) (10+10) 12:05 lunch 13:15 gravity levels (Konaka) (5+10) 13:30 other physics (Martin) (15+10) 13:55 discussion of physics priorities and strategy (chair: Martin) (35) 14:30 begin writing more joint sessions draft Aug. 3 morning presentation Aug. 3

morning - presentations of results from the working groups.

Agenda

from townhall meeting (Aug. 07)

Outline of White Paper

- Physics
 - prioritized and realistic, as much as possible
- UCN Source
 - proposed intensity at TRIUMF
 - world context and relevance
- Required Infrastructure
 - floorspace, shielding
 - duty cycle
 - He liquefier
- Required funding
 - CFI and collaboration, and international